

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner stated that the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Applicants' filed on Jan. 30, 2001 was non-compliant because copies of references were missing and that those missing reference copies were crossed out in the copy of Applicants' IDS included in the first office action dated Nov. 7, 2003.

On September 20, 2004, Applicants filed an IDS resubmitting copies of the references the Examiner said were missing in the previous IDS submission. Applicants request review and consideration of the resubmitted references.

The Examiner rejected the claims as indefinite under 35 U.S.C. §112, par. 2. Applicants amended the claims to overcome each of the issues the Examiner raised with respect to indefiniteness. Applicants request that the Examiner withdraw the indefiniteness rejection in view of these amendments.

### Conclusion

Applicant submits that the pending claims 1-24 are in condition for allowance. Applicants have not added any claims. Nonetheless, should any additional fees be required, please charge Deposit Account No. 09-0460.

The attorney of record invites the Examiner to contact him at (310) 553-7977 if the Examiner believes such contact would advance the prosecution of the case.

Dated: October 29, 2004

By: \_\_\_\_\_

David W. Victor  
Registration No. 39,867

Please direct all correspondences to:

David Victor  
Konrad Raynes & Victor, LLP  
315 South Beverly Drive, Ste. 210  
Beverly Hills, CA 90212  
Tel: 310-553-7977  
Fax: 310-556-7984